

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/855,109	05/14/2001	La Tondra Murray	RSW9-2000-0147-US1	8729	
46270	7590 10/17/2007		EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION (SYL-RSW) C/O SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER LLP					
1101 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19107		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s) MURRAY, LA TONDRA			
		09/855,109				
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ryan F. Pitaro	2174			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence	address		
The Ap	opeal Brief filed on <u>12 July 2007</u> is defective for f	failure to comply with one or	more provisions of 3	37 CFR 41.37.		
1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notif	ication, whichever is			
1.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.					
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the	e appealed claims as an app	pendix thereto (37 CF	R .		

The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any

other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix

The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding

identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR

After discussing further with a Patent Appeals Specialist, the Summary is too general, more specifically. It doesn't map

JOHN CABECA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)

9.

10.🛛

thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).

Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

all of the limitations to the specification and/or drawings.

41.37(c)(1)(x).